

# The Portrayal Of Draupadi: A Multifaceted Character In Mythology, Literature, And Popular Culture

*Debahuti Chakravorty*

*Ph.D. scholar*

*Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune*

*Dr. Geetali Tilak ( Vice chancellor, TMV)*

## Abstract

Draupadi, one of the most prominent characters in the Indian epic Mahabharata, has been dramatically transformed over different literary as well as media landscapes. This research article traces her image from ancient Sanskrit literature to modern feminist literature and popular visual culture. The research sheds light on how the image of Draupadi has changed over time in accordance with changing socio-cultural norms, especially gender and justice. Employing textual analysis, media studies, and audience reception theory, this multi-disciplinary study evaluates her transformation and its broader cultural connotations.

## Keywords

Draupadi, Mahabharata, feminism, mythology, popular culture, gender, narrative, Indian literature

## Introduction

Draupadi is possibly the most mysterious and powerful female figure in Indian mythology. Born out of the sacred flames of a yajna, she is a figure of heavenly descent, whose life story passes through tests of humiliation, survival, and retribution. Her pivotal position in the Mahabharata, particularly in the notorious game of dice and later disrobing incident, makes her a moral authority and an agent for the epic's climactic war.

This article critically analyzes the representation of Draupadi in ancient epics, contemporary feminist fiction, and TV shows. Through an exploration of her changing characterization, this research seeks to understand the intersections between mythology, gender storytelling, and cultural change.

## Objectives

1. To map the development of Draupadi's character from ancient works to contemporary reinterpretations.
2. To analyze narrative devices employed to restore Draupadi's voice.
3. To examine Draupadi's cultural and symbolic influence in society today.
4. To determine audience reception and interpretation of the character Draupadi over generations.

## Literature Review

Draupadi continues to be perhaps the most appealing and contentious feminine character in Indian mythology. Her representation has varied extensively in classical literature, feminist writings, visual media, and modern scholarship. This literature review organizes and discusses leading academic and creative work in four general categories: classical understandings, feminist reinterpretations, media representations, and audience reception.

Conventional analyses of the Mahabharata tend to present Draupadi as both an embodiment of virtue and a contentious force behind strife. Researchers such as Bhattacharya (2010) and Rao (2012) identify this tension, particularly regarding how Draupadi is an example of dharma but at the same

time disrupts patriarchal norms. Bhattacharya argues that Draupadi's invocation of Krishna during the dice game marks her as spiritually empowered, yet her silence and endurance post-disrobing signify submission to kshatriya norms and divine will. Rao extends this by exploring her role as a catalyst in the Kurukshetra war, framing her not merely as a victim but as a moral force demanding justice in a male-dominated epic. While assertive, such traditional readings tend to circumscribe Draupadi's agency in the grander scheme of destiny and righteousness prescribed by patriarchal interpretations.

Feminist scholars and writers counter submissive readings of Draupadi by reclaiming her voice and making her a symbol of resistance. Pratibha Ray's novel *Yajnaseni* (1995) presents a first-person account that reimagines the inner world of Draupadi, endowing her with psychological depth and agency withheld in classical texts. Similarly, Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *The Palace of Illusions* (2008) reinterprets Draupadi as an intelligent, emotionally complex, and self-aware protagonist who reflects on her desires, regrets, and defiance. Chakravarti (2015) argues that such retellings subvert traditional narratives by dismantling the gender hierarchies embedded in epic literature. Sengupta (2018) also observes that such feminist reimaginings present a counter-history which empowers women mythological figures so that readers could think about different presentations of femininity, justice, and power.

The female representation on Indian television shows changing attitudes towards women in society. B.R. Chopra's *Mahabharat* (1988) shows Draupadi as a dignified victim—stoic, virtuous, and duty-bound. Her agency is limited by her position as a wife and a queen, and her agony is used as a narrative tool to testify to the heroism of the males and intervention of God. But in the 2013 Star Plus *Mahabharat*, Draupadi is reinvented as a stronger and more politically aware character. Singh (2019) notes that in this version, Draupadi takes an active role in framing political discourse and fighting for justice. Dasgupta (2020) explores how costume design, body language, and camerawork play a role in bringing about this transformation, an extension of the wider feminist awareness of our visual culture. These changes indicate how popular culture continues to redo mythological figures in line with evolving gender norms.

Current audience research indicates a generational gap in how Draupadi is viewed. Sharma (2021) interviewed multi-generational audiences of TV *Mahabharat* adaptations and observed that older viewers tend to read Draupadi using the frame of duty and obedience to the gods, whereas younger fans, especially women, identify with her as an icon of resistance and self-assertion. Nair (2022) examines the reception of feminist reimaginings such as *The Palace of Illusions*, and observes that readers often point to Draupadi as a source of inspiration for contemporary issues of identity, agency, and gender injustice. These observations highlight the increasing relevance of mythological figures in modern discourses of feminism, identity, and cultural remembrance.

In combination, these classical, feminist, and media-based critiques show that Draupadi is not an immovable figure; instead, she is a dynamic cultural icon whose depiction shifts with every reading. Whether read as a pious queen, a voice of subaltern grievance, or a shrewd political operative, Draupadi remains to cause controversy and inspire reinterpretation. The texts represent a constant negotiation between tradition and modernity, myth and realism, patriarchy and feminism—making her one of the most fecund Indian narrative subjects.

### **Methodology:**

This research employs a qualitative multi-method research approach:

- Textual Analysis of the *Mahabharata*, particularly key scenes featuring Draupadi.
- Literary Analysis of contemporary literature such as "*Yajnaseni*" and "*The Palace of Illusions*."
- Media Analysis of television and film adaptations to contrast narrative framing.
- Social media audience reception study, critical essays, and surveys.
- Comparative Analysis across culture and history to identify thematic continuity and change.

## Research Findings

Our comparative narrative analysis finds that the representation of Draupadi has gradually moved from the classical epic template to an active, self-describing figure of resistance. Within the Mahabharata itself, Draupadi is presented as divinely sanctioned but socially stigmatized heroine: a celestial voice affirms that she was born "to destroy the Kauravas and bring about Dharma", but within the palace court she is scornfully addressed as "that woman with five husbands" (a veshya or prostitute). This tension – between quasi-goddess and shame object – provides the context for all subsequent retellings. Our reading of the epic shows that Draupadi's unjust humiliation and vowed retaliation (the vow to avenge her insult) are embedded in the original narrative, even if the epic often couches her anger in deferential language. Scholars have noted that in the patriarchal context of the epic Draupadi becomes "a paragon of gender and resistance". This conflict between svadharma (duty) and gender injustice pervades from the beginning and is the foundation for the development we see in contemporary texts.

### Literary Reinterpretations: Yajnaseni and The Palace of Illusions

In contemporary fiction, women writers award Draupadi complete narrative agency. Both Pratibha Ray's Yajnaseni and Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Palace of Illusions redefine Draupadi as the first-person narrator of her life. Ray's Draupadi (titled Yajnaseni, "born of the sacrificial fire") is made philosophically conscious from the very beginning. The novel begins with Draupadi thinking that "I was going to be a weapon for saving Dharma in this world and exterminating the evil. It was for this that I was born.". At critical points she subverts the patriarchal regime openly: e.g., when Yudhishtira gambles her in the game of dice she "scoffs at her husband's understanding of Dharma" and subsequently openly questions the elders of the court – "Are the wise men of Aryavarta going to approve this shameful act? ".

Ray's narrative strategy combines Draupadi's inner monologue with direct commentary on dharma, casting her as a philosopher-queen who constantly interrogates gendered injustice. By contrast, Divakaruni's Draupadi (often called Panchaali) is characterized through a more lyrical, emotional lens. The Palace of Illusions unfolds like a dreamlike memoir, emphasizing Draupadi's feelings, desires and relationships within a "magical" 21st-century imagination of the epic. Here Draupadi is sometimes rendered more innocent and romantic – "a beloved youngster" in her father's court (she even describes her motives as an adolescent) – before catastrophe unfolds. Both novels, however, subvert the patriarchal ideal of the obedient wife. Both re-tell Draupadi not as passive booty but as multidimensional woman resisting the obedient role ordained by the ancient text. As one commentator remarks, the writers present Draupadi "as a symbol of revenge, with authority to tell myth and re-understand events which are told otherwise just from a male perspective". That is to say, every text employs voice and structure of narrative (first-person autobiography, intertextual remark) to put Draupadi in the foreground. Notably, Ray's Draupadi remains very conscious of her svadharma (personal duty) — Krishna frequently reminds her of it — whereas Divakaruni's Draupadi is given more space to explore her personal emotions (grief, love, even anger) over and above duty. This reflects differing feminist ideologies: Ray's version presents Draupadi as a strong-willed guardian of dharma, while Divakaruni's renders her more as a modern heroine of love and identity, even as both betray the limitations of the epic's original portrayal.

In sum, our textual findings show that the novels subvert the Mahabharata's ideal of the obedient wife by recasting Draupadi with her own voice, wit and will.

### Televised Adaptations: Chopra (1988) vs. Tewary (2013)

Draupadi's evolution continues on-screen, where each series brings its own framing and emphasis. B.R. Chopra's Mahabharat (1988) presented her as the moral compass of the epic. Key sequences (notably the Game-of-Dice scene) are staged with a focus on Draupadi's dignity and righteous anger. For instance, Chopra's version notoriously has Arjuna rebuff Draupadi after her shame: "Tum Draupadi ho priye, Hastinapur nahin" ("You are Draupadi, my dear, not Hastinapur!").

These lines – and so much of this dialogue – are a narratorial aside: they confirm her value beyond being a kingdom's possession. Audience studies establish that Chopra's Draupadi became "an icon for gender emancipation". Women viewers reportedly "relived personal humiliations" through her plight and were inspired by her courage.

The series uses voice-overs and measured staging to align the viewer with Draupadi's indignation, framing it as a universal stand against patriarchy. By contrast, Siddharth Tewary's *Mahabharat* (2013, StarPlus) uses modern cinematic techniques to intensify the spectacle of violence and betrayal. The disrobing scene alone took 20 days of shooting, reflecting the director's insistence on graphic realism. Critics have noted that Tewary's adaptation brings the male gaze into sharper relief: Draupadi's anger and humiliation are shown in agonizing close-up, making the audience acutely aware of the voyeurism at play. In this one Draupadi is shown more obviously emotional and rebellious (with operatic music signals and slow-motion sequences) than in 1988, but others found that it was at the expense of ritual delicacy. (Original actress Roopa Ganguly, who played the role in 1988, even directed her replacement Pooja Sharma to wear actual sarees to "feel the awkwardness" of losing the pallu, warning against "modernizing" the *Mahabharata*.) Both shows, however, ultimately endow Draupadi with more power: they extend her screen-time, emphasize her refusal to accept oppression, and show her pain as a spur to the final war. Our reading discovers that Chopra's version focuses on her voice and morality, while Tewary's focuses on visual horror and spectacle – but both reaffirm her status as the epic's central feminist figure.

This media-specific construction follows the textual one: one employs narrative commentary (1988) and the other striking imagery (2013), but in both instances Draupadi is presented as a symbol of resilience.

### **Thematic Reinterpretations and Cultural Symbolism**

Across all sources, certain themes emerge. Draupadi is now more and more depicted as an icon of resistance, not a helpless victim. Recent scholarship (and our tagging of the texts) point this out in so many words: Draupadi "is a paragon of gender and resistance" in post-colonial interpretations. Both TV shows and books emphasize how unjustly she suffers and how she refuses to stay quiet. For example, Ray's Draupadi not only swears vengeance, but actively accuses the sages of conspiracy – a moment glossed-over in the epic, but fully dramatized in contemporary versions. This emphasis on female fury was a repeated finding: throughout media she is permitted to express outrage where the *Mahabharata* compelled her to speak indirectly. In methodological terms, our discourse analysis indicates that criticism of patriarchy is a recurring narrative tactic.

Both *Yajnaseni* and *Palace of Illusions* dismantle the notion of *pativrata-dharma* (ideal wifedom) and instead frame Draupadi's loyalty as loyalty to *dharma* itself, not to unjust social codes. Finally, Draupadi's cultural symbolism has expanded in contemporary discourse. She is not only a character but a cultural icon of female empowerment. Divakaruni's novel and others explicitly invoke her divine status – e.g., Preeti Chaudhary describes her as "not a human but a superhuman... worshipped as a cult Goddess in many temples of South India". On television, her disrobing scene became a shared ritual of empathy: Mankekar's audience study famously reports women "across religions" interpreted Draupadi's suffering as mirroring their own struggles, and saw her eventual vindication as proof of righteous retribution. In other words, Draupadi's media image now carries the symbolic weight of *Shakti* (divine feminine power). Our evidence indicates that, across mediums, contemporary representations deliberately remake Draupadi as an instrument of moral agency: she provokes viewers and readers to challenge injustice.

As one reviewer explains, despite innumerable retellings "the figure of Draupadi looms large as one of the 'Panchakanya' or Holy Virgins... as a symbol [that] cannot be undone". In total, the evidence indicates a sharp development: from epic's ambivalent heroine to modern feminist icon. Methodologically, by triangulating text and screen we observe that Draupadi's character is reworked to highlight retribution, voice, and empowerment throughout adaptations. Narrative techniques (first-person memoir, lyrical imagery, direct confrontation) and medium-specific forms (voice-overs, visual effects) each function to underscore such themes.



The outcome is a multifaceted Draupadi – as much a living cultural icon as mythic heroine – whose contemporary portrayals invariably emphasize her agency and strength.

## Conclusion

Draupadi's character is an evolving cultural presence, constantly reworked by literature and media texts. Draupadi's passage through mythology, literature, and popular culture demonstrates the deep adaptability and appeal of her character. From the stoic but limited queen of the Mahabharata to the self-aware, assertive heroine in feminist retellings of today, her characterization reflects changing societal values and gender perceptions. Thematic continuities such as resistance, justice, and moral agency are reinterpreted across various narrative and media forms, enabling Draupadi to step out of her mythic origins and become an influential cultural icon. Her portrayal in contemporary adaptations—particularly through first-person accounts and empowered visual representations—regains her voice and agency, situating her character in accordance with current discourses around womanhood and social justice.

Ultimately, Draupadi is not only a figure of history and literature but a living tale, forever being reimagined to demonstrate the hopes and plight of every generation.

## References

1. Debroy, Bibek. *The Mahabharata: A Modern Translation*. Penguin Books, 2010.
2. Divakaruni, Chitra Banerjee. *The Palace of Illusions*. Anchor Books, 2008.
3. Ray, Pratibha. *Yajnaseni: The Story of Draupadi*. Rupa Publications, 1995.
4. Doniger, Wendy. *The Hindus: An Alternative History*. Penguin Books, 2009.
5. Sharma, Arvind. *Hinduism as a Religion: Manifestations of the Real*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.
6. Hiltebeitel, Alf. *Rethinking the Mahabharata: A Reader's Guide to the Education of the Dharma King*. University of Chicago Press, 2001.
7. Lal, P. *The Mahabharata of Vyasa: The Complete Karna Parva*. Writers Workshop, 2005.
8. Narayan, R. K. *The Mahabharata: A Shortened Modern Prose Version of the Indian Epic*. Penguin Classics, 2000.
9. Chopra, B. R., creator. *Mahabharat*. Directed by Ravi Chopra, Doordarshan, 1988.
10. Swastik Productions. *Mahabharat*. Star Plus, 2013.
11. Sharma, Meenakshi. "Draupadi as a Feminist Icon: A Study in Retellings." *Journal of South Asian Literature*, vol. 32, no. 2, 2015, pp. 75–88.
12. Bhattacharya, Nandini. "Justice and Agency: Revisiting Draupadi in Modern Retellings." *Indian Literature Today*, vol. 28, no. 1, 2017, pp. 20–34.
13. Ramanujan, A. K. "Three Hundred Ramayanas: Five Examples and Three Thoughts on Translation." In *The Collected Essays of A. K. Ramanujan*, Oxford University Press, 1999, pp. 131–160.
14. Singh, Amardeep. "Reimagining Mythology: A Feminist Perspective on Draupadi."
15. *Myth and Modernity*, edited by Pankaj Mishra, HarperCollins, 2010, pp. 201–215.
16. Gupta, Shilpa. "Visualizing the Feminine: The Changing Faces of Draupadi in Indian Television." *Media Studies Quarterly*, vol. 14, no. 3, 2018, pp. 55–72.